

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,013	12/31/2001	Kazuo Kashima	826.1781	5783
21171 STAAS & HAI	171 7590 12/12/2007 TAAS & HALSEY LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			CASLER, TRACI	
1201 NEW YC WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. D. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•					
Office Action Summary	10/032,013	KASHIMA, KAZUO			
onice Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication com	Traci L. Casler	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 September 2007</u> .				
<i>'</i> =	·—				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 15 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number:

10/032,013 Art Unit: 3629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to papers filed on September 18, 2007.

Claims 1, 4, 7-12 and 15 have been amended.

Claims 1-12 and 15 are pending.

Claims1-12 and 15 are rejected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,598, 557 Doner et al; Apparatus and Method for Retrieving and Grouping images representing text files based on relevance of key words extracted from a selected file to the text files in view of US Patent publication 20020077884 Sketch Online Method and System for Providing Learning Solutions for the elimination of Functional Competency Gaps.

As to claims 1, 4, 7-12 and 15 Donor teaches an Apparatus system and method for determining a similarity level between a users search input query(C. 2 l. 60-65).

Donor further teaches determining a text relevancey(importance level) of the queried terms of the documents in the data storage (C. 7 I. 45-55).

Donor fails to teach the apparatus searching two types of training materials and the training materials being those supplied by the organization and those being supported by a third party. Sketch teaches allowing employees to search for several types of training materials vendor(¶40 and custom corporate ¶41). It would have been obvious to on skilled in the art to combine Sketch with Donnor as one would obtain predictable results when combining known query retrieval elements/methods of Donnor with the training query process of Sketch.

As to claims 2 and 5 Doner teaches obtaining importance levels of words (C. 6 I. 1-3).

As to claims 3 and 6 Doner teaches data mining(Fig. 7 Ref 702)

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Casler whose telephone number is 571-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/032,013 Art Unit: 3629 Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TLC

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600